# 4. Logical optimization

# Logical optimization

- Algebraic Laws
- Improving the logical query plan

## **Algebraic laws**

- Commutative and associative laws
- Laws involving selection
- Laws involving projection
- Laws about joins and product

#### **Commutative and Associative Laws**

Operation	Commutativity	Associativity
Cartesian product	$R \times S = S \times R^{1}$	$(R \times S) \times T = R \times (S \times T)$
Natural join	$R \bowtie S = S \bowtie R^{1)}$	$(R \bowtie S) \bowtie T = R \bowtie (S \bowtie T)^{2}$
Union	$R \cup S = S \cup R$	$(R \cup S) \cup T = R \cup (S \cup T)$
Intersection	$R \cap S = S \cap R$	$(R \cap S) \cap T = R \cap (S \cap T)$

<sup>1)</sup> The order of columns changes.
 <sup>2)</sup> Natural join of three relations is performed on attributes which are common for all the relations.

# Restricted associativity of theta-join

Commutativity	Associativity
$R \bowtie_{\theta} S = S \bowtie_{\theta} R$	?

R(A,B); S(C,D); T(E,F)

$$\begin{split} R & \bowtie_{B=C} (S & \bowtie_{D=E} T) = (R & \bowtie_{B=C} S) & \bowtie_{D=E} T \\ R & \bowtie_{A=F} (S & \bowtie_{D=E} T) \neq (R & \bowtie_{A=F} S) & \bowtie_{D=E} T \end{split}$$

## Laws involving selection

$$\begin{aligned} 1. & \sigma_{C_{1}\&C_{2}}(R) = \sigma_{C_{1}}(\sigma_{C_{2}}(R)) \\ 2. & \sigma_{C_{1}}(\sigma_{C_{2}}(R)) = \sigma_{C_{2}}(\sigma_{C_{1}}(R)) \\ 3. & \sigma_{A < x}\left(R \underset{(A)}{\bowtie} S\right) = \left(\sigma_{A < x}(R)\right) \underset{(A)}{\bowtie} \left(\sigma_{A < x}(S)\right) \end{aligned}$$

# Laws involving projection

1. 
$$\pi_{\alpha}(R \bowtie S) = \pi_{\alpha}\left(\pi_{\beta}(R) \bowtie \pi_{\gamma}(S)\right)$$
  
2.  $\pi_{\alpha}(R \bowtie S) = \pi_{\alpha}\left(\pi_{\beta}(R) \bowtie \pi_{\gamma}(S)\right)$   
3.  $\pi_{\alpha}(R \times S) = \pi_{\alpha}\left(\pi_{\beta}(R) \times \pi_{\gamma}(S)\right)$ 

### **Projection over natural join**

$$\pi_{\alpha}(R \bowtie S) = \pi_{\alpha}(\pi_{\beta}(R) \bowtie \pi_{\gamma}(S))$$

- $\beta$  the join attributes and the attributes of  $\alpha$  that are found among the attributes of *R*
- $\gamma$  the join attributes and the attributes of  $\alpha$  that are found among the attributes of *S*

### **Projection over theta-join**

$$\pi_{\alpha}(R \bowtie S) = \pi_{\alpha}\left(\pi_{\beta}(R) \bowtie \pi_{\gamma}(S)\right)$$

- $\beta$  the join attributes (i.e., those mentioned in condition  $\theta$ ) and the attributes of  $\alpha$  that are found among the attributes of *R*
- $\gamma$  the join attributes (i.e., those mentioned in condition  $\theta$ ) and the attributes of  $\alpha$  that are found among the attributes of *S*

#### **Projection over cartesian product**

$$\pi_{\alpha}(R \times S) = \pi_{\alpha}(\pi_{\beta}(R) \times \pi_{\gamma}(S))$$

 $\beta$  - the attributes of  $\alpha$  that are found among the attributes of *R* 

 $\gamma$  - the attributes of  $\alpha$  that are found among the attributes of *S* 

#### Laws about joins and product

1. 
$$R \bowtie_{\theta} S = \sigma_{\theta}(R \times S)$$
  
2. 
$$R \bowtie_{(A)} S = \pi_{R.*,S.*-S.A} \left( \sigma_{R.A=S.A} \left( R \times S \right) \right)$$



C>E\*1000

C>E*1000 ∖	

R			S		
A*	B	С	D*	F	E
1	20	600	1	3	0.2
2	40	300	2	1	0.7
3	20	150	3	1	0.5
4	10	300			

#### $\underset{C>E*1000}{R\bowtie S}$

$\mathbf{A}^{*}$	B	С	$\mathbf{D}^*$	F	E
1	20	600	1	3	0.2
1	20	600	3	1	0.5
2	40	300	1	3	0.2
4	10	300	1	3	0.2

1) $Q = R$	×S				
<b>A</b> *	В	С	D*	F	E
1	20	600	1	3	0.2
1	20	600	2	1	0.7
1	20	600	3	1	0.5
2	40	300	1	3	0.2
2	40	300	2	1	0.7
2	40	300	3	1	0.5
3	20	150	1	3	0.2
3	20	150	2	1	0.7
3	20	150	3	1	0.5
4	10	300	1	3	0.2
4	10	300	2	1	0.7
4	10	300	3	1	0.5
•	$(\mathbf{O})$				

#### 2) $\sigma_{C>E^{*1000}}(Q)$

$\mathbf{A}^{*}$	В	С	D*	F	E	
1	20	600	1	3	0.2	
1	20	600	3	1	0.5	
2	40	300	1	3	0.2	
4	10	300	1	3	0.2	

$$R \underset{\scriptscriptstyle (A)}{\bowtie} S = \pi_{R.*,S.*-S.A} \left( \sigma_{R.A=S.A} \left( R \times S \right) \right)$$

R				S			1) $Q = R \times$	S				
$\mathbf{A}^{*}$	B	C	,	<b>D</b> *	$\mathbf{A}^{\#}$	E	<b>R.</b> A*	В	С	D*	S.A <sup>#</sup>	E
1	20	10	0	1	3	0.2	1	20	100	1	3	0.2
-	20	10		1	5	0.2	1	20	100	2	1	0.5
2	40	30	0	2	1	0.5	1	20	100	3	1	0.5
3	20	10	0	3	1	0.5	2	40	300	1	3	0.2
	10	20		_			2	40	300	2	1	0.5
4	10	$10  300  \mathbf{W=R\bowtie S}$				2	40	300	3	1	0.5	
		$\mathbf{A}^{*}$	B	С	$\mathbf{D}^*$	E	3	20	100	1	3	0.2
			• •	1.0.0			3	20	100	2	1	0.5
		1	20	100	2	0.5	3	20	100	3	1	0.5
		1	20	100	3	0.5	4	10	300	1	3	0.2
		3	20	100	1	0.2	4	10	300	2	1	0.5
		-	_ •				4	10	300	3	1	0.5

3) W= $\pi_{R.*,S.*-S.A}(P)$ 

$\mathbf{A}^{*}$	В	С	D*	E
1	20	100	2	0.5
1	20	100	3	0.5
3	20	100	1	0.2

	1	
$\langle$		

2) $P = \sigma$	$T_{R.A=S.A}(Q)$

<b>R.</b> A*	В	С	D*	S.A <sup>#</sup>	E	
1	20	100	2	1	0.5	
1	20	100	3	1	0.5	
3	20	100	1	3	0.2	

 $\int$ 

Database system implementation

# Improving the logical query plan

- Optimization with selection
- Optimization with projection
- Optimization with duplicate eliminations
- Optimization by composing the selection and cartesian product

# **Optimization with selection**

- Selections can be pushed down the tree as far as they can go (it reduces the size of intermediate relations and may therefore be beneficial).
- If a selection condition is the AND of several conditions, then we can split the condition and push each piece down the tree separately.

# **Optimization with projection**

- Projections can be pushed down the tree (it reduces the size of intermediate relations and may therefore be beneficial).
- New projections can be added.

#### **Optimization with duplicate eliminations**

- Duplicate eliminations can be pushed down the tree as far as they can go (it reduces the size of intermediate relations and may therefore be beneficial).
- Redundant duplicate eliminations can be eliminated. Relation that is known not to have duplicates :
  - A stored relation with a declared primary key
  - The result of a  $\gamma$  operation, since grouping creates a relation with no duplicates

# **Optimization by composing the selection and cartesian product**

The selection having equality as a condition can be combined with a product below to turn into an equijoin, which is generally much more efficient to evaluate than are the two operations separately.



# **Optimization by composing the selection and cartesian product**

The selection having inequality as a condition can be combined with a product below to turn into an theta-join, which is generally much more efficient to evaluate than are the two operations separately.



# **Example of logical optimization**

// IDs of suppliers which have rating greater than 50 and supply red parts.



#### **Size of intermediate relations**



#### **Pushing selections down the tree**



#### **Pushing selections down the tree**



#### **Pushing selections down the tree**



### **Replacement of selection and cartesian product by equijoin**



#### Size of intermediate relations



#### Pushing projections down the tree



Database system implementation